2017

AP Italian Language and Culture

Sample Student Responses and Scoring Commentary

Inside:

- 🗹 Task 1 E-mail Reply
- ☑ Scoring Guideline
- **☑** Student Samples
- **☑** Scoring Commentary

© 2017 The College Board. College Board, Advanced Placement Program, AP, AP Central, and the acorn logo are registered trademarks of the College Board. Visit the College Board on the Web: www.collegeboard.org. AP Central is the official online home for the AP Program: apcentral.collegeboard.org

AP[®] ITALIAN LANGUAGE AND CULTURE 2017 SCORING GUIDELINES

Identical to Scoring Guidelines used for French, German, and Spanish Language and Culture Exams

Interpersonal Writing: E-mail Reply (Task 1)

5: STRONG performance in Interpersonal Writing

- Maintains the exchange with a response that is clearly appropriate within the context of the task
- Provides required information (responses to questions, request for details) with frequent elaboration
- Fully understandable, with ease and clarity of expression; occasional errors do not impede comprehensibility
- Varied and appropriate vocabulary and idiomatic language
- Accuracy and variety in grammar, syntax, and usage, with few errors
- Mostly consistent use of register appropriate for the situation; control of cultural conventions appropriate for formal correspondence (e.g., greeting, closing), despite occasional errors
- Variety of simple and compound sentences, and some complex sentences

4: GOOD performance in Interpersonal Writing

- Maintains the exchange with a response that is generally appropriate within the context of the task
- Provides most required information (responses to questions, request for details) with some elaboration
- Fully understandable, with some errors that do not impede comprehensibility
- Varied and generally appropriate vocabulary and idiomatic language
- General control of grammar, syntax, and usage
- Generally consistent use of register appropriate for the situation, except for occasional shifts; basic
- control of cultural conventions appropriate for formal correspondence (e.g., greeting, closing)
- Simple, compound, and a few complex sentences

3: FAIR performance in Interpersonal Writing

• Maintains the exchange with a response that is somewhat appropriate but basic within the context of the task

- Provides most required information (responses to questions, request for details)
- Generally understandable, with errors that may impede comprehensibility
- Appropriate but basic vocabulary and idiomatic language
- Some control of grammar, syntax, and usage
- Use of register may be inappropriate for the situation with several shifts; partial control of conventions for formal correspondence (e.g., greeting, closing), although these may lack cultural appropriateness
- Simple and a few compound sentences

2: WEAK performance in Interpersonal Writing

• Partially maintains the exchange with a response that is minimally appropriate within the context of the task

- Provides some required information (responses to questions, request for details)
- Partially understandable with errors that force interpretation and cause confusion for the reader
- Limited vocabulary and idiomatic language
- Limited control of grammar, syntax, and usage
- Use of register is generally inappropriate for the situation; includes some conventions for formal correspondence (e.g., greeting, closing) with inaccuracies
- Simple sentences and phrases

AP[®] ITALIAN LANGUAGE AND CULTURE 2017 SCORING GUIDELINES

Identical to Scoring Guidelines used for French, German, and Spanish Language and Culture Exams

1: POOR performance in Interpersonal Writing

• Unsuccessfully attempts to maintain the exchange by providing a response that is inappropriate within the context of the task

- Provides little required information (responses to questions, request for details)
- Barely understandable, with frequent or significant errors that impede comprehensibility
- Very few vocabulary resources
- Little or no control of grammar, syntax, and usage
- Minimal or no attention to register; includes significantly inaccurate or no conventions for formal correspondence (e.g., greeting, closing)
- Very simple sentences or fragments

0: UNACCEPTABLE performance in Interpersonal Writing

- Mere restatement of language from the stimulus
- Completely irrelevant to the stimulus
- "I don't know," "I don't understand," or equivalent in any language
- Not in the language of the exam
- (hyphen): BLANK (no response)

1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

Gentile Signor Varone, la sua n'sposta. Mi piace Grazie per molfissimo la sua programma 19 C assistenza che provide alle famiglie. con Ho esperienza tour in lavoro bambini e quindi, pre(-enisco i fan volontariato con i bambini. Sono Do Not Write Beyond This Border domanda, Per vispondere alla sua seconda sono interessata alle attività sportive di liceo, io gioco più perché al mio basket e calcio. Vorrej aintare 19 fomei di durante i wstra organizzazione possibile. Potresti calcetto e pallavolo se quando 1 si tornei? dimmi svolgono questi volontariato fempo tolontariato sara <u>___X</u> Anche il di. flessibile? the quentare dero scuola Sara 10 libera durante il giumo ma sono quattro dopo di pomeniggio. il tempo dedicatomi Gravie ancora per Cordiali Saluti -5-GO ON TO THE NEXT PAGE.

Do Not Write Beyond This Border

1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

Signor Vorone, Eareaio malto per la sua hobosto Arazie Centro ider P stage presso ï 10 to not IQN re CON all perche. im P nidce NNI Litter L Belle. Hneho, sempre. Do Not Write Beyond This Border JAEX 7270 Q CIQY Do Not Write Beyond This Border VSI av main P 0 TC <u>n</u>n1 0 £ GUNT N hini 90 俯 $\mathcal{C}\lambda$ 7 7 malto amvedenc DISTINITI Salun GO ON TO THE NEXT PAGE. -5-

© 2017 The College Board. Visit the College Board on the Web: www.collegeboard.org.

1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 Denila Varance Ciao, Ia sono grazie per le notre actività di volentariato, Per 10 prima unche euro; sull'uso delle nuove trendogica questo xopo officience ϵ \mathbb{T}_{2} volta. varoi adalt: VE15 . Sincomente ÷ . Do Not Write Beyond This Border Do Not Write Beyond This Border -5-GO ON TO THE NEXT PAGE.

© 2017 The College Board. Visit the College Board on the Web: www.collegeboard.org.

AP[®] ITALIAN LANGUAGE AND CULTURE 2017 SCORING COMMENTARY

Task 1: E-mail Reply

Note: Student samples are quoted verbatim and may contain grammatical errors.

Overview

This task assessed writing in the interpersonal communicative mode by having the student write a reply to an e-mail message. Students were allotted 15 minutes to read the message and write the reply. The response received a single, holistic score based on how well it accomplished the assigned task. Students needed to be able, first, to comprehend the e-mail, and then to write a reply using a formal form of address. The reply must address all the questions and requests raised in the message, as well as ask for more details about something mentioned in the message.

The question assessed the students' performance in Interpersonal Writing by engaging them in a series of exchanges on a familiar topic within the course theme *Famiglia e società*. Content and context were provided by the e-mail from Danilo Varone, an employee at the Family Center in Turin. The e-mail offered students a summer internship where they could volunteer for both educational and recreational activities designed for children, youth, adults, and the elderly. Students were expected to produce language that used a variety of grammar structures and vocabulary appropriate to the context. They had to give information about themselves by answering questions that asked them to express opinions or preferences regarding the age of people they wanted to work with and the type of activities they were interested in. In addition, they had to demonstrate the ability to ask questions by asking for further information about something mentioned in the message.

In this question, content and skills were strictly interwoven, and the task ultimately assessed what students could do with the language in a specific context.

Sample: 1A Score: 5

The response is clearly appropriate and demonstrates a strong performance in Interpersonal Writing. It provides all the required information and presents frequent elaboration ("Ho esperienza in lavoro con i bambini e quindi, preferisco fare volontariato con i bambini"; "Per rispondere alla sua seconda domanda, sono interessata alle attività sportive di piu perché al mio liceo, io gioco basket e calcio"). The response is fully understandable; occasional errors do not impede comprehensibility ("Mi piace moltissimo la sua programma e la assistenza che provide alle famiglie"; "Potresti dimmi quando e dove si svolgono questi tornei?"). The student shows accuracy and variety in grammar, syntax, and usage ("Vorrei aiutare la vostra organizzazione durante i tornei di calcetto e pallavolo se possibile"; "Grazie ancora per il tempo dedicatomi"). The use of register is mostly consistent, and cultural conventions are appropriate for formal correspondence. The response uses a mix of simple, compound, and complex sentences. This response received a score of 5.

Sample: 1B Score: 3

The response demonstrates a fair performance in Interpersonal Writing. It is somewhat appropriate but basic within the context of the task. It provides most required information by using simple and a few compound sentences that demonstrate some control of grammar and basic vocabulary ("*Te preferisco lavorare con i bambini perche mi piace le sue energia positivi*"; "*L'attivita in particolarmento che mi interessa e pallavolo*"). The response is generally understandable; however, there are some errors that may impede comprehensibility ("*La grazie molto per la suo risposto*"; "*Anche, loro sempre belle*"; "*Questo attiveta e molto divertarsi e buona per i bambini*"). The register shows partial control of conventions for formal correspondence ("*La grazie molto*"). This response received a score of 3.

AP[®] ITALIAN LANGUAGE AND CULTURE 2017 SCORING COMMENTARY

Task 1: E-mail Reply (continued)

Sample: 1C Score: 1

This response demonstrates a poor performance in Interpersonal Writing. The student unsuccessfully attempts to maintain the exchange with very few vocabulary resources and little control of grammar, syntax, and usage (*"Io sono grazie"*; *"Io vorrei giovani e adulti"*). The response shows minimal attention to register (*"Ciao, Danilo Varone"*) and includes an inappropriate closing (*"Sinceramente"*). This response received a score of 1.