AP Comparative Government and Politics

Sample Student Responses and Scoring Commentary

Inside:

Free Response Question 4

AP® COMPARATIVE GOVERNMENT AND POLITICS 2018 SCORING GUIDELINES

Question 4

3 points

One point is earned for correctly identifying the PRI or the PAN as the political party of the Mexican president elected in the last 20 years.

One point is earned for correctly describing the electoral system used to select Mexico's president.

Acceptable descriptions include:

- First-Past-the-Post (FPTP)
- Plurality
- Most votes wins
- Winner-take-all system

One point is earned for correctly identifying one electoral reform and explaining why this reform made Mexico's presidential elections more competitive.

Acceptable identifications/explanations include:

Identification	Explanation
 Independent electoral institute (IFE/INE) Sexenio or one-term limit Media access or equal time rules Campaign finance reform Televised debates Secret ballots Voter identification cards Federal Election Tribunal Establishment of primary system 	 Prevents fraud Makes elections more fair Increases number of candidates Reduces clientelism or corruption Legitimizes elections or voting Creates greater transparency Eliminates dedazo tradition

NOTE: Student must identify the reform and explain how that reform made elections more competitive. It is insufficient to just identify the reform.

A score of zero (0) is earned for an attempted answer that earns no points or for an off-task answer.

A score of dash (—) is earned for a blank.

4A

4. Identify the political party of a Mexican president elected in the last twenty years. Describe the elected used to select Mexico's president. Identify one electoral reform and explain why this reform made M presidential elections more competitive.

.o s

Over the pas	t 20 ye	ours many	IDIANS AND S	8808222880	28.00000
to elected	Mexica	n presider	nts have	been fro	m the
party, PRI	. Presidu	nts in Ma	uxico our	e ejected	democratical
by a first-	past-the	-post vot	2. Haneu	er, the ca	ndidate
does not have	e to get	a Majorit	y vote a	nd there	is no
Second round	of votin	g between	the top	2 coundia	lates.
recently, a	n·indep	endant co	uncil was	created	to manage
Muxican elect	rions. Th	is has k	d to less	corrupti	M NO
elections, and	more	free, mor	fair el	ections:	
-		-			
			n k	*	42
	1944	* .		a ge	
					- 1)
·			-	93	8 X 9
al .		4			
		9		à.	
	N .			40	
÷			N 3		
30		,		2	a < 4
3				1	

4.	Identify the political party of a Mexican president elected in the last twenty years. Describe the elector
	used to select Mexico's president. Identify one electoral reform and explain why this reform made Me
	presidential elections more competitive.

0
K
-

I _n	2000	PAN	Wh	th	presidences	from.	the	ρ	RI	
					the po					
Clection.					-				-	
four										
tenn	len, I	16nd	Mens	•	presian +	Can	only	sive		
1 ton										
			7							
× 2										
. 10									¥2	
						1				
							_			-
				10					-	
4.4										
									#	
				: ++						
i a										
E	4									
		7		n 6			4			

4. Identify the political party of a Mexican president elected in the last twenty years. Describe the elector used to select Mexico's president. Identify one electoral reform and explain why this reform made Me presidential elections more competitive.

1	i	1	7
L	†	(_

Fox Was	apart	of to	ie PA	N Par	ty of	0
Mexico 1	10 DREC	food	Sustem	in r	Wexico	21.8
a Pirst- Winner my	past-the	-post	Suskn	a wr	Leso try	0
winner m	just wi	n'am	along the second	of of	The wo	ites
to become	ne pres	ident.	A rec	ent re	e form	12
The ma	ontyof	the	voks	systen	1. 72	2'\
The mai	trie c	vinner	MUST	t Win	more	e tran
any ohi	er Cani	dat,	Which	incre	eases	tre
Coppetit	ileness	of the	elpe	tion.		
	1 1	F =				f oil
						3
	4 L	* 4	The I		р ч	
		8	_			
	У.	4	1		¥	
				e E		F.
			10.4	-		
l.		17	9	TF.		Į.
	шш			3.0		
5. + N+-				34	1	
	ŷ.					
4						

AP® COMPARATIVE GOVERNMENT AND POLITICS 2018 SCORING COMMENTARY

Question 4

Overview

The intent of this question was to assess students' understanding of the presidential election system in Mexico and the impact of electoral reform on competition in presidential elections. The skills tested were descriptive and explanatory. Students had three specific tasks: to identify a political party that has held the presidency in the past twenty years; to describe the presidential electoral system in Mexico; and to identify a political reform to the Mexican presidential electoral system, then explain why that reform created greater political competition in Mexican presidential elections.

Sample: 4A Score: 3

The response earned 1 point for identifying the party of a Mexican president in the last twenty years as PRI. The response correctly identifies one of the two parties that has held the presidency in Mexico in the last twenty years. An additional acceptable response would be PAN.

The response earned 1 point for describing the electoral system used to select Mexico's president as "first-past-the-post vote." The response correctly describes the electoral system used to select Mexico's president. Additional acceptable responses would include describing the voting system used to select Mexico's president as a plurality system, a winner-take-all system, or as a system where the most votes win.

The response earned 1 point for identifying as an electoral reform "an independent council ... created to manage Mexican elections" and explaining that this reform has made Mexico's presidential elections more competitive by creating "less corruption in elections." The response correctly identifies as an electoral reform the creation of an independent electoral institution to supervise elections in Mexico and clearly explains that this reform made Mexico's presidential elections more competitive by reducing corruption in the electoral process. Additional acceptable responses would include identifying as an electoral reform a one-term limit for the president, which made Mexico's presidential elections more competitive by increasing the number of legitimate candidates, or equal time rules for candidate media access, which have made Mexico's presidential elections more competitive by making the elections more fair.

Sample: 4B Score: 2

The response earned 1 point for identifying the party of a Mexican president in the last twenty years as PAN. The response correctly identifies one of the two parties that has held the presidency in Mexico in the last twenty years. An additional acceptable response would be PRI.

The response earned 1 point for describing the electoral system used to select Mexico's president as "first past the post ... election." The response correctly describes the electoral system used to select Mexico's president. Additional acceptable responses would include describing the voting system used to select Mexico's president as a plurality system, a winner-take-all system, or as a system where the candidate with the most votes wins.

The response did not earn the point for identifying an electoral reform and explaining why the reform made Mexico's presidential elections more competitive. The response correctly identifies the "sexenio," in which "a president can only serve 1 term," as an electoral reform but does not clearly explain why this reform made Mexico's presidential elections more competitive. One acceptable response would be identifying as an electoral

AP® COMPARATIVE GOVERNMENT AND POLITICS 2018 SCORING COMMENTARY

Question 4 (continued)

reform a one-term limit for the president, which made Mexico's presidential elections more competitive by increasing the number of legitimate candidates. Other acceptable responses would include identifying the creation of an independent electoral institution to supervise elections in Mexico, and explaining that this reform made Mexico's presidential elections more competitive by reducing fraud in the electoral process, or equal time rules for candidate media access, which have made Mexico's presidential elections more competitive by making the elections more fair.

Sample: 4C Score: 1

The response earned 1 point for identifying the party of a Mexican president in the last twenty years as PAN. The response correctly identifies one of the two parties that has held the presidency in Mexico in the last twenty years. An additional acceptable response would be PRI.

The response did not earn the point for describing the electoral system used to select Mexico's president. Although the response correctly identifies the electoral system used to select Mexico's president as "a First-past-the-post system," it incorrectly describes a first-past-the-post system as a "system where the winner must win a majority of the votes." Acceptable responses would include describing the voting system used to select Mexico's president as a plurality system, a winner-take-all system, or as a system where the most votes wins.

The response did not earn the point for identifying an electoral reform and explaining why the reform made Mexico's presidential elections more competitive. The response does not clearly explain why a reform made Mexico's presidential elections more competitive because it incorrectly identifies as an electoral reform "the majority of the votes system." One acceptable response would be identifying as an electoral reform the creation of an independent electoral institution to supervise elections in Mexico and explaining that this reform made Mexico's presidential elections more competitive by reducing fraud in the electoral process. Other acceptable responses would include identifying a one-term limit for the president, which made Mexico's presidential elections more competitive by increasing the number of legitimate candidates, or equal time rules for candidate media access, which have made Mexico's presidential elections more competitive by making the elections more fair.