# **AP Japanese Language** and Culture

**Scoring Guidelines** 

#### **Interpersonal Writing: Text Chat**

		TASK COMPLETION	DELIVERY	LANGUAGE USE
6	EXCELLENT Demonstrates excellence in interpersonal writing	<ul> <li>Directly addresses prompt and provides a very thorough and appropriate response; includes elaboration and detail</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Natural, easily flowing expression</li> <li>Orthography and mechanics virtually error free</li> <li>Consistent use of register and style appropriate to situation</li> </ul>	Rich vocabulary and idioms     Excellent use of grammar and syntax, with minimal or no errors
5	VERY GOOD Suggests emerging excellence in interpersonal writing	<ul> <li>Directly addresses prompt and provides a thorough and appropriate response; may include elaboration and detail</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Generally exhibits ease of expression</li> <li>Infrequent or insignificant errors in orthography and mechanics</li> <li>Consistent use of register and style appropriate to situation except for occasional lapses</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Variety of vocabulary and idioms, with sporadic errors</li> <li>Appropriate use of grammatical and syntactic structures, with sporadic errors in complex structures</li> </ul>
4	GOOD Demonstrates competence in interpersonal writing	Directly addresses prompt and provides an appropriate response	<ul> <li>Strained or unnatural flow of expression does not interfere with comprehensibility</li> <li>Errors in orthography and mechanics do not interfere with readability</li> <li>May include several lapses in otherwise consistent use of register and style appropriate to situation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Appropriate but limited vocabulary and idioms</li> <li>Appropriate use of grammatical and syntactic structures, but with several errors in complex structures or limited to simple structures</li> </ul>
3	ADEQUATE Suggests emerging competence in interpersonal writing	Directly addresses prompt and provides a basic but appropriate answer	<ul> <li>Strained or unnatural flow of expression sometimes interferes with comprehensibility</li> <li>Errors in orthography and mechanics may be frequent or interfere with readability</li> <li>Use of register and style appropriate to situation is inconsistent or includes many errors</li> </ul>	Some inappropriate     vocabulary and idioms     interfere with     comprehensibility     Errors in grammatical and     syntactic structures sometimes     interfere with     comprehensibility
2	WEAK Suggests lack of competence in interpersonal writing	Directly addresses prompt and provides an appropriate but incomplete answer	<ul> <li>Labored expression frequently interferes with comprehensibility</li> <li>Errors in orthography and mechanics frequent or interfere with readability</li> <li>Frequent use of register and style inappropriate to situation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Insufficient, inappropriate     vocabulary and idioms     frequently interfere with     comprehensibility</li> <li>Limited control of grammatical     and syntactic structures     frequently interferes with     comprehensibility or results in     fragmented language</li> </ul>
1	VERY WEAK Demonstrates lack of competence in interpersonal writing	Addresses prompt minimally or marginally	<ul> <li>Labored expression         constantly interferes with         comprehensibility</li> <li>Errors in orthography and         mechanics very frequent or         significantly interfere with         readability</li> <li>Constant use of register and style         inappropriate to situation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Insufficient, inappropriate     vocabulary and idioms     constantly interfere with     comprehensibility</li> <li>Limited control of grammatical     and syntactic structures     significantly interferes with     comprehensibility or results in     very fragmented language</li> </ul>
0	UNACCEPTABLE Contains nothing that earns credit	<ul> <li>Mere restatement of the pro</li> <li>Clearly does not respond to</li> <li>"I don't understand," "Pleas</li> <li>Not in Japanese</li> <li>Blank</li> </ul>	mpt	

**Presentational Writing: Compare and Contrast Article** 

		TASK COMPLETION	DELIVERY	LANGUAGE USE
E e p	EXCELLENT Demonstrates excellence in presentational writing	<ul> <li>Article addresses all aspects of prompt with thoroughness and detail, including expression of preference and reasoning</li> <li>Well organized and coherent, with a clear progression of ideas; use of appropriate transitional elements and cohesive devices</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Natural, easily flowing expression</li> <li>Orthography and mechanics virtually error free</li> <li>Virtually no mistakes in use of kanji according to AP Japanese kanji list</li> <li>Consistent use of register and style appropriate to situation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Rich vocabulary and idioms</li> <li>Variety of appropriate grammatical and syntactic structures, with minimal or no errors</li> </ul>
S e e	VERY GOOD Suggests emerging excellence in presentational writing	<ul> <li>Article addresses all aspects of prompt, including expression of preference and reasoning</li> <li>Well organized and coherent, with a progression of ideas that is generally clear; some use of transitional elements and cohesive devices</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Generally exhibits ease of expression</li> <li>Infrequent or insignificant errors in orthography and mechanics</li> <li>Occasional mistakes in use of kanji according to AP Japanese kanji list</li> <li>Consistent use of register and style appropriate to situation except for occasional lapses</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Variety of vocabulary and idioms, with sporadic errors</li> <li>Appropriate use of grammatical and syntactic structures, with sporadic errors in complex structures</li> </ul>
E c p	GOOD Demonstrates competence in presentational writing	<ul> <li>Article addresses all aspects of prompt, including expression of preference and reasoning, but may lack detail or elaboration</li> <li>Generally organized and coherent; use of transitional elements and cohesive devices may be inconsistent</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Strained or unnatural flow of expression does not interfere with comprehensibility</li> <li>Errors in orthography and mechanics do not interfere with readability</li> <li>May include several mistakes in use of kanji according to AP Japanese kanji list</li> <li>May include several lapses in otherwise consistent use of register and style appropriate to situation</li> </ul>	Appropriate but limited vocabulary and idioms     Appropriate use of grammatical and syntactic structures, but with several errors in complex structures or limited to simple structures
e c p	ADEQUATE Suggests emerging competence in presentational writing	<ul> <li>Article addresses topic directly but may not address all aspects of prompt</li> <li>Portions may lack organization or coherence; infrequent use of transitional elements and cohesive devices</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Strained or unnatural flow of expression sometimes interferes with comprehensibility</li> <li>Errors in orthography and mechanics may be frequent or interfere with readability</li> <li>May include frequent mistakes in use of kanji according to AP Japanese kanji list</li> <li>Use of register and style appropriate to situation is inconsistent or includes many errors</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Some inappropriate     vocabulary and idioms     interfere with     comprehensibility</li> <li>Errors in grammatical and     syntactic structures     sometimes interfere with     comprehensibility</li> </ul>
S	WEAK Suggests lack of competence in presentational writing	<ul> <li>Article addresses topic only marginally or addresses only some aspects of prompt</li> <li>Scattered information generally lacks organization and coherence; minimal or no use of transitional elements and cohesive devices</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Labored expression frequently interferes with comprehensibility</li> <li>Errors in orthography and mechanics frequent or interfere with readability</li> <li>Frequent mistakes in use of kanji according to AP Japanese kanji list</li> <li>Frequent use of register and style inappropriate to situation</li> </ul>	Insufficient, inappropriate vocabulary and idioms frequently interfere with comprehensibility     Limited control of grammatical and syntactic structures frequently interferes with comprehensibility or results in fragmented language
la c p	VERY WEAK Demonstrates ack of competence in presentational writing	Article addresses prompt only minimally     Lacks organization and coherence	<ul> <li>Labored expression constantly interferes with comprehensibility</li> <li>Errors in orthography and mechanics very frequent or significantly interfere with readability</li> <li>Minimal use of kanji according to AP Japanese kanji list</li> <li>Constant use of register and style inappropriate to situation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Insufficient, inappropriate vocabulary and idioms constantly interfere with comprehensibility</li> <li>Limited control of grammatical and syntactic structures significantly interferes with comprehensibility or results in very fragmented language</li> </ul>
C	UNACCEPTABLE Contains nothing that earns credit	<ul> <li>Mere restatement of the prompt</li> <li>Clearly does not respond to the pro</li> <li>Not in Japanese</li> <li>Blank</li> </ul>	mpt; completely irrelevant to the topic	

#### **Interpersonal Speaking: Conversation**

		TASK COMPLETION	DELIVERY	LANGUAGE USE
6	EXCELLENT Demonstrates excellence in interpersonal speaking	<ul> <li>Directly addresses prompt and provides a very thorough and appropriate response; includes elaboration and detail</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Natural, easily flowing expression</li> <li>Natural pace with minimal hesitation or repetition</li> <li>Pronunciation virtually error free</li> <li>Consistent use of register and style appropriate to situation</li> </ul>	Rich vocabulary and idioms     Excellent use of grammar and syntax, with minimal or no errors
5	VERY GOOD Suggests emerging excellence in interpersonal speaking	Directly addresses prompt and provides a thorough and appropriate response; may include elaboration and detail	<ul> <li>Generally exhibits ease of expression</li> <li>Smooth pace with occasional hesitation or repetition, which does not distract from the message</li> <li>Infrequent or insignificant errors in pronunciation</li> <li>Consistent use of register and style appropriate to situation except for occasional lapses</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Variety of vocabulary and idioms, with sporadic errors</li> <li>Appropriate use of grammatical and syntactic structures, with sporadic errors in complex structures</li> </ul>
4	GOOD Demonstrates competence in interpersonal speaking	Directly addresses prompt and provides an appropriate response	<ul> <li>Strained or unnatural flow of expression does not interfere with comprehensibility</li> <li>Generally consistent pace with some unnatural hesitation or repetition</li> <li>Errors in pronunciation do not necessitate special listener effort</li> <li>May include several lapses in otherwise consistent use of register and style appropriate to situation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Appropriate but limited vocabulary and idioms</li> <li>Appropriate use of grammatical and syntactic structures, but with several errors in complex structures or limited to simple structures</li> </ul>
3	ADEQUATE Suggests emerging competence in interpersonal speaking	Directly addresses prompt and provides a basic but appropriate answer	<ul> <li>Strained or unnatural flow of expression sometimes interferes with comprehensibility</li> <li>Inconsistent pace marked by some hesitation or repetition</li> <li>Errors in pronunciation sometimes necessitate special listener effort</li> <li>Use of register and style appropriate to situation is inconsistent or includes many errors</li> </ul>	Some inappropriate vocabulary and idioms interfere with comprehensibility     Errors in grammatical and syntactic structures sometimes interfere with comprehensibility
2	WEAK Suggests lack of competence in interpersonal speaking	Directly addresses prompt and provides an appropriate but incomplete answer	<ul> <li>Labored expression frequently interferes with comprehensibility</li> <li>Frequent hesitation or repetition</li> <li>Frequent errors in pronunciation necessitate constant listener effort</li> <li>Frequent use of register and style inappropriate to situation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Insufficient, inappropriate vocabulary and idioms frequently interfere with comprehensibility</li> <li>Limited control of grammatical and syntactic structures frequently interferes with comprehensibility or results in fragmented language</li> </ul>
1	VERY WEAK Demonstrates lack of competence in interpersonal speaking	Addresses prompt minimally or marginally	<ul> <li>Labored expression constantly interferes with comprehensibility</li> <li>Constant hesitation or repetition</li> <li>Frequent errors in pronunciation necessitate intense listener effort</li> <li>Constant use of register and style inappropriate to situation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Insufficient, inappropriate     vocabulary and idioms     constantly interfere with     comprehensibility</li> <li>Limited control of grammatical     and syntactic structures     significantly interferes with     comprehensibility or results in     very fragmented language</li> </ul>
0	UNACCEPTABLE Contains nothing that earns credit	<ul> <li>Mere restatement of the prompt</li> <li>Clearly does not respond to the prompt</li> <li>"I don't understand," "Please repeat," or equivalent in Japanese</li> <li>Not in Japanese</li> <li>Blank (although recording equipment is functioning) or mere sighs</li> </ul>		

#### **Presentational Speaking: Cultural Perspective Presentation**

	TASK COMPLETION	DELIVERY	LANGUAGE USE
6 EXCELLENT Demonstrates excellence in presentational speaking and cultural knowledge	<ul> <li>Presentation addresses all aspects of prompt with thoroughness and detail, including explanation of view or perspective</li> <li>Well organized and coherent, with a clear progression of ideas; use of appropriate transitional elements and cohesive devices</li> <li>Cultural information is accurate and detailed</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Natural, easily flowing expression</li> <li>Natural pace with minimal hesitation or repetition</li> <li>Pronunciation virtually error free</li> <li>Consistent use of register and style appropriate to situation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Rich vocabulary and idioms</li> <li>Variety of appropriate grammatical and syntactic structures, with minimal or no errors</li> </ul>
5 VERY GOOD Suggests emerging excellence in presentational speaking and cultural knowledge	<ul> <li>Presentation addresses all aspects of prompt, including explanation of view or perspective</li> <li>Well organized and coherent, with a progression of ideas that is generally clear; some use of transitional elements and cohesive devices</li> <li>Minimal errors in cultural information</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Generally exhibits ease of expression</li> <li>Smooth pace with occasional hesitation or repetition, which does not distract from the message</li> <li>Infrequent or insignificant errors in pronunciation</li> <li>Consistent use of register and style appropriate to situation except for occasional lapses</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Variety of vocabulary and idioms, with sporadic errors</li> <li>Appropriate use of grammatical and syntactic structures, with sporadic errors in complex structures</li> </ul>
4 GOOD Demonstrates competence in presentational speaking and cultural knowledge	<ul> <li>Presentation addresses almost all aspects of prompt, including explanation of view or perspective, but may lack detail or elaboration</li> <li>Generally organized and coherent; use of transitional elements and cohesive devices may be inconsistent</li> <li>Generally correct cultural information with some inaccuracies</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Strained or unnatural flow of expression does not interfere with comprehensibility</li> <li>Generally consistent pace with some unnatural hesitation or repetition</li> <li>Errors in pronunciation do not necessitate special listener effort</li> <li>May include several lapses in otherwise consistent use of register and style appropriate to situation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Appropriate but limited vocabulary and idioms</li> <li>Appropriate use of grammatical and syntactic structures, but with several errors in complex structures or limited to simple structures</li> </ul>
3 ADEQUATE Suggests emerging competence in presentational speaking and cultural knowledge	<ul> <li>Presentation addresses topic directly but may not address all aspects of prompt</li> <li>Portions may lack organization or coherence; infrequent use of transitional elements and cohesive devices</li> <li>Cultural information may have several inaccuracies</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Strained or unnatural flow of expression sometimes interferes with comprehensibility</li> <li>Inconsistent pace marked by some hesitation or repetition</li> <li>Errors in pronunciation sometimes necessitate special listener effort</li> <li>Use of register and style appropriate to situation is inconsistent or includes many errors</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Some inappropriate vocabulary and idioms interfere with comprehensibility</li> <li>Errors in grammatical and syntactic structures sometimes interfere with comprehensibility</li> </ul>
2 WEAK Suggests lack of competence in presentational speaking and cultural knowledge	<ul> <li>Presentation addresses topic only marginally or addresses only some aspects of prompt</li> <li>Scattered information generally lacks organization and coherence; minimal or no use of transitional elements and cohesive devices</li> <li>Cultural information has frequent or significant inaccuracies</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Labored expression frequently interferes with comprehensibility</li> <li>Frequent hesitation or repetition</li> <li>Frequent errors in pronunciation necessitate constant listener effort</li> <li>Frequent use of register and style inappropriate to situation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Insufficient, inappropriate vocabulary and idioms frequently interfere with comprehensibility</li> <li>Limited control of grammatical and syntactic structures frequently interferes with comprehensibility or results in fragmented language</li> </ul>
1 VERY WEAK Demonstrates lack of competence in presentational speaking and cultural knowledge	<ul> <li>Presentation addresses prompt only minimally</li> <li>Lacks organization and coherence</li> <li>Cultural information almost entirely inaccurate or missing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Labored expression constantly interferes with comprehensibility</li> <li>Constant hesitation or repetition</li> <li>Frequent errors in pronunciation necessitate intense listener effort</li> <li>Constant use of register and style inappropriate to situation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Insufficient, inappropriate vocabulary and idioms constantly interfere with comprehensibility</li> <li>Limited control of grammatical and syntactic structures significantly interferes with comprehensibility or results in very fragmented language</li> </ul>
0 UNACCEPTABLE Contains nothing that earns credit	<ul> <li>Mere restatement of the prompt</li> <li>Clearly does not respond to the prompt;</li> <li>Not in Japanese</li> <li>Blank (although recording equipment is</li> </ul>		