AP CollegeBoard

AP® Research
Academic Paper

Scoring Guidelines

AP® RESEARCH — ACADEMIC PAPER
2019 SCORING GUIDELINES

The Response…				
Score of 1 Report on Existing Knowledge	**Score of 2** Report on Existing Knowledge with Simplistic Use of a Research Method	**Score of 3** Ineffectual Argument for a New Understanding	**Score of 4** Well-Supported, Articulate Argument Conveying a New Understanding	**Score of 5** Rich Analysis of a New Understanding Addressing a Gap in the Research Base
Presents an overly broad topic of inquiry.	Presents a topic of inquiry with narrowing scope or focus, that is NOT carried through either in the method or in the overall line of reasoning.	Carries the focus or scope of a topic of inquiry through the method **AND** overall line of reasoning, even though the focus or scope might still be narrowing.	Focuses a topic of inquiry with clear and narrow parameters, which are addressed through the method and the conclusion.	Focuses a topic of inquiry with clear and narrow parameters, which are addressed through the method and the conclusion.
Situates a topic of inquiry within a single perspective derived from scholarly works **OR** through a variety of perspectives derived from mostly non-scholarly works.	Situates a topic of inquiry within a single perspective derived from scholarly works **OR** through a variety of perspectives derived from mostly non-scholarly works.	Situates a topic of inquiry within relevant scholarly works of varying perspectives, although connections to some works may be unclear.	Explicitly connects a topic of inquiry to relevant scholarly works of varying perspectives **AND** logically explains how the topic of inquiry addresses a gap.	Explicitly connects a topic of inquiry to relevant scholarly works of varying perspectives **AND** logically explains how the topic of inquiry addresses a gap.
Describes a search and report process.	Describes a nonreplicable research method **OR** provides an oversimplified description of a method, with questionable alignment to the purpose of the inquiry.	Describes a reasonably replicable research method, with questionable alignment to the purpose of the inquiry.	Logically defends the alignment of a detailed, replicable research method to the purpose of the inquiry.	Logically defends the alignment of a detailed, replicable research method to the purpose of the inquiry.
Summarizes or reports existing knowledge in the field of understanding pertaining to the topic of inquiry.	Summarizes or reports existing knowledge in the field of understanding pertaining to the topic of inquiry.	Conveys a new understanding or conclusion, with an underdeveloped line of reasoning **OR** insufficient evidence.	Supports a new understanding or conclusion through a logically organized line of reasoning **AND** sufficient evidence. The limitations and/or implications, if present, of the new understanding or conclusion are oversimplified.	Justifies a new understanding or conclusion through a logical progression of inquiry choices, sufficient evidence, explanation of the limitations of the conclusion, and an explanation of the implications to the community of practice.
Generally communicates the student's ideas, although errors in grammar, discipline-specific style, and organization distract or confuse the reader.	Generally communicates the student's ideas, although errors in grammar, discipline-specific style, and organization distract or confuse the reader.	Competently communicates the student's ideas, although there may be some errors in grammar, discipline-specific style, and organization.	Competently communicates the student's ideas, although there may be some errors in grammar, discipline-specific style, and organization.	Enhances the communication of the student's ideas through organization, use of design elements, conventions of grammar, style, mechanics, and word precision, with few to no errors.
Cites **AND/OR** attributes sources (in bibliography/ works cited and/or in-text), with multiple errors and/or an inconsistent use of a discipline-specific style.	Cites **AND/OR** attributes sources (in bibliography/ works cited and/or in-text), with multiple errors and/or an inconsistent use of a discipline-specific style.	Cites **AND** attributes sources, using a discipline-specific style (in both bibliography/works cited **AND** in-text), with few errors or inconsistencies.	Cites **AND** attributes sources, with a consistent use of an appropriate discipline-specific style (in both bibliography/works cited **AND** in-text), with few to no errors.	Cites **AND** attributes sources, with a consistent use of an appropriate discipline-specific style (in both bibliography/works cited **AND** in-text), with few to no errors.